

REMARKS

Summary of Office Action

Claims 1-3, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kubota et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0171792) in view of Maeda et al. (US Pat. No. 7,123,325) and Official Notice.

Claims 4, 5, 14, 15, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kubota et al., Maeda et al., and Official Notice, and further in view of You (U.S. Patent No. 7,023,508).

Claims 8, 9, 11, 17, 18, and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kubota et al., Maeda et al., and Official Notice.

Summary of Amendment

Claims 1, 10, 12, 19, and 21 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Claims 6 and 16 stand cancelled. Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17-21 are currently pending for consideration.

All Claims Comply Under §103

Claims 1-3, 7, 10, 12, 13, and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kubota et al., in view of Maeda et al., and Official Notice. Claims 4, 5, 14, 15, and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kubota et al., Maeda et al., and Official Notice, and further in view of You. Claims 8, 9, 11, 17, 18, and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kubota et al., Maeda et al., and Official Notice. Applicants respectfully traverse.

As amended, claims 1, 10, 12, 19, and 21 recite, in part, a reflective layer on the second organic material layer having a transmissive hole at the open portion, *the reflective layer substantially not overlapping the thin film transistor*. Kubota et al., Maeda et al., and the Official Notice, whether taken individually or in combination, fail to teach or suggest at least this feature of the claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the §103 rejection to claims 1, 10, 12, 19, and 21 be withdrawn.

Dependent claims 2-5, 7-9, 11, 13-15, 17, 18, and 20 depend from one of independent claims 1, 10, 12, 19, and 21, thereby incorporating all the features of their respective base claims. You and the Official Notice taken do not and cannot cure the deficiencies of Kubota et al. and Maeda et al. Accordingly, Fujimori et al., Kubo et al., You, and the Official Notice take, whether taken individually or in combination, fail to teach all of the features of dependent claims 2-5, 7-9, 11, 13-15, 17, 18, and 20 for at least the reasons discussed above.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the §103 rejection of claims 1-5, 7-15 and 17-21 be withdrawn.

#

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-0310. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

Dated: January 30, 2008

By: 

Kyle J. Choi

Reg. No. 41,480

Customer No.: 009626
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
Telephone: 202.739.3000
Facsimile: 202.739.3001